

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-10 are pending in the application. Claim 1 has been amended herein. Favorable reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

#### ***I. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-10 UNDER 35 USC §103(a)***

Claims 1-10 remain rejected under 35 USC §103(a) based on *Seigo* (JP 09-281440). Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection for at least the following reasons.

A feature of the present invention is that the two-view display includes adjustable viewing windows and angles between views of the display. (See, e.g., Spec., p. 6, Ins. 18-24). This is accomplished by the parallax optic having a parallax element slit pitch for providing viewpoint correction in a two-view display. (See, e.g., Spec., p. 9, Ins. 7-19).

In particular, the viewpoint correction is the change in the parallax optic pitch (i.e., the parallax element slit pitch) in order to have angular zone coverage at a predefined range. (See, e.g., Spec., paragraph bridging pages 3-4). The present invention accomplishes the viewpoint correction by altering the parallax element slit pitch, in relationship to the horizontal pixel pitch, in order to define viewing windows. (See, e.g., Spec., p. 8, Ins. 4-10; and p. 9, Ins. 7-19). Therefore, viewpoint correction can be used in order to adjust the viewing windows and angles between views of the display.

In contrast, the purpose of *Seigo* is to allow for high resolution in a stereoscopic display, and not to provide variable angles and windows. In particular, *Seigo* only discloses using a parallax optic (image barrier 2) for generating a stereoscopic display. (See, e.g., [0020]). This would not be able to account for the variable angles and windows, by the use of a parallax element slit pitch for viewpoint correction.

Applicants have amended claim 1 so as to emphasize further such distinction. In particular, claim 1 has been amended to recite that "the parallax elements include a slit pitch to provide viewpoint correction". This clarifies that the slit pitch of the parallax elements is provided to allow for the viewpoint correction. Advantageously, such feature allows for a decrease in the ability to view the parallax optic and a decrease in the pixel arrangement. (See, e.g., Spec., p. 10, Ins. 11-13).

Thus, the present invention provides a new and non-obvious two view display with high resolution and variable viewing angles and viewing windows, with a decrease in the ability to view the parallax optic. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 together with claims 2-10 is respectfully requested.

## **II. CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, all claims 1-10 are believed to be allowable and the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. A prompt action to such end is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner feel that a telephone interview would be helpful to facilitate favorable prosecution of the above-identified application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Should a petition for an extension of time be necessary for the timely reply to the outstanding Office Action (or if such a petition has been made and an additional extension is necessary), petition is hereby made and the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees (including additional claim fees) to Deposit Account No. 18-0988.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

/Mark D. Saralino/

Mark D. Saralino  
Reg. No. 34,243

DATE: July 2, 2009

Application No.: 10/729,714

The Keith Building  
1621 Euclid Avenue  
Nineteenth Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44115  
(216) 621-1113

yamap893amendmentwithRCE2